

T/SOR/36/5/3 PRICE

SCOTTISH & NORTHERN  
£.30

# CONDEMNED

## FROM THE DOCK:

---



JOHN MACLEAN

---

His famous speech  
against  
War and Capitalism

## FOREWORD

Any introduction to John MacLean's famous speech from the dock, which he made on May 8th 1918 in the High Court in Edinburgh, must, inevitably, be inadequate. But it is fitting in the year of his 50th Commemoration that something should be said about the man himself, and the circumstances surrounding the trial.

The courage and sincerity of John MacLean is beyond question. That will be evident to all who read the speech. He was a man fully convinced that human emancipation was possible only as a result of social revolution. He died one year before Mussolini seized power in Italy, and ten years before Hitler seized power in Germany, and did not witness the crimes of fascism, yet it is clear from his speech that he knew the nature of the capitalist beast. One wonders how the judge and the members of the jury felt when they heard this obviously sincere man denounce capitalism from the dock with the same intensity as when he spoke to workers at his classes and public meetings.

John MacLean was in the dock because he opposed the war and upheld the Russian Revolution as pointing the way forward for the workers of the whole world. He was there at a time when unscrupulous warmongers were accusing Clydeside workers of getting German gold. It was at a time when all who opposed the war were regarded as either cowards or traitors. Despite this atmosphere John MacLean was now speaking with greater confidence than ever before. There was the Russian Revolution and, on top of that, the Clydeside shop stewards were, for the first time, calling for an end to the war. Here was a man who, like revolutionaries throughout the world, had his faith completely restored after the disappointment caused by the collapse of social-democracy at the outbreak of the war.

And here at this point a word or two should be said about the shop stewards and their attitude to the war. No sooner had it started than the Clydeside workers entered a struggle to defend established rights and raise their wages. The first blow was struck at Weir's Cathcart where the men resurrected the pre-war demand for an increase of twopence an hour. J.M. Messer had built up at the Weir factory the only work-shop committee on the Clyde. The men at the Weir works came out on strike and they were followed by the workers in all the engineering works on Clydeside. This struggle gave birth to the Clyde Workers' Committee of which Willie Gallacher was Chairman.

Gallacher, like most of the leading shop stewards, was opposed to the war but he had for a while come under the influence of John Muir, who had won him over to accepting that the war was a fact and that the fight should be within the limits that sprang from that approach. But John MacLean, with whom Gallacher had been associated for many years, had consistently expressed his hostility to the policy of confining the struggle to economic issues. Now at the time of the trial the situation had hardened, and the shop stewards were opposed to the continuance of the war.

Let it be said that Gallacher had the courage of a lion. He was honest, likeable, and popular. The decision of the shop stewards to oppose the war put Gallacher in his element. Scotland has never produced his equal as an industrial leader.

The event that John MacLean found so heartening and which helped to give him confidence took place in January 1918, when a meeting of shop stewards refused to augment the Government's supply of cannon fodder. The Government had introduced a Man-Power Bill with the aim of conscripting young unmarried engineers to take the places of the young men slaughtered on the western front. Sir Auckland Geddes came to Glasgow to win support for the Bill. It was on that occasion that the shop stewards at a mass meeting, in the presence of Sir Auckland, opposed the Bill and called for an end to the war.

The Russian Revolution was declared by John MacLean to be the greatest event in human history but it was not possible for the jury to see it that way. The Revolution was feared and, consequently, denounced by the capitalist press. Czarist generals were waging civil war against the Bolsheviks with the financial backing of the capitalist governments. Again, one wonders how the members of the jury felt when they heard the man in the dock praise Lenin and Trotsky, the two most hated men in the capitalist world. Winston Churchill, whose vocabulary was more than adequate for the ordinary rough and tumble of parliamentary politics, was at pains to find fresh epithets to hurl at Lenin and the other leaders of the Russian Revolution, but here was John MacLean hailing as friends the very men whom Churchill detested.

How could it be otherwise? John MacLean had been made an honorary member of the Petrograd Soviet and appointed Russian Consul for Glasgow. Money sent to him by the Russian Government through Kameney was sequestered by the British Government. Lenin had never heard of him before the outbreak of the first world war, but when he did hear of him he was not slow in recognising him as the most outstanding anti-war fighter in Britain.

Those sitting in judgement on him were probably even more disturbed by what he said about the role of the working class. We can only guess what their feelings were when he said: "The working class, when they rise for their own are more dangerous to capitalists than even the German enemies at your gates." That sentence alone was sufficient to seal his fate. It was in line with his onslaught on capitalism, "...dripping with blood from head to foot."

He stood in the dock knowing full well that he was going to prison for the third time. He spoke as a man with a serious message to put forward and determined to do it. He stood there in the same pose as he always stood when speaking at classes or public meetings, his hands slightly in front of his body with palm facing palm, as if he were describing the dimensions of something or other. He showed vigour, but was not flamboyant. That was how he addressed his new audience in the High Court, Edinburgh. The members of that audience had never heard anything like it before.

He talked about strikes, politics, war and revolution. The members of the jury were left in no doubt regarding his opinions. He predicted another war arising from the prevailing conflict of interests between the great powers. He could not have foreseen the rise of Hitler and other events that led to new rivalries, and new alliances, yet he was correct in his rejection of the claim that the first world war was "the war to end war".

It was inevitable that he would be sent to prison. The sentence was one of five years imprisonment. The project he started, of setting up a Scottish Labour College had to be pursued in his absence. The war ended while he was in prison, and a general election was fixed to take place in December of that year. John MacLean was the official Labour candidate for Gorbals. His election campaign was conducted by Willie Gallacher as proxy candidate. Never since has there been an election campaign like it. The demand for the release of the great revolutionary leader was raised in every other part of Clydeside. He was released one week before polling day.

This re-print of the speech will serve a useful purpose if the reader realises that it is John MacLean speaking to him. It is about the class struggle — war — revolution. When the prisoner called from the dock: "Keep it going, boys, keep it going!" he was calling to us. John MacLean died within five years from his release from prison. He was twice again sent to prison within that time.

A great feeling prevailed in 1918. The revolution seemed to be just 'round the corner'. We have not yet reached that corner, but we are on the way. The proletariat will justify the hopes and vision of John MacLean. They will usher in the new society.

HARRY McSHANE



## FROM THE DOCK:

JOHN MACLEAN

### HIS FAMOUS SPEECH AGAINST CAPITALISM AND WAR

It has been said that they cannot fathom my motive. For the full period of my active life I have been a teacher of Economics to the working classes, and my contention has always been that Capitalism is rotten to its foundations, and must give place to a new society. I had a lecture, the principal heading of which was 'Thou shalt not kill', and those who did not believe in the necessity of the abolition of the money system that grew up in all civilised countries as a consequence of the money system have had to keep armies, and that inevitably our armies must clash together. On that and on other grounds, I consider Capitalism to be the most infamous, bloody and evil system that has ever existed. I have never used any of the last extravagant language, but the events of the past four years have proved my contention.

## THE CLASS WAR

He (the Lord Advocate) accused me of my motives. My motives are clean. My motives are genuine. If my motives were not clean and genuine, would I have made my statements while these short-handled reporters were present? I am sure I realise that Justice and Freedom can only be obtained when Society is placed on a sound economic basis. That sound economic basis is wanting to-day, and hence the bloodshed we are having. I have not tried to get young men particularly. They are the ones who are the backbone of the world. I am old now. I know quite well that in the reconstruction of Society, the class interests of those who are on top will resist the change, and the only factor in Society that can make for a clean sweep in Society is the working class. Hence the Class War. The only history of the world is the history that Society has made, and a consideration of the under class overcoming the resistance of a class on top is common. So much for that.

I also wish to point out to you this, that when the late King Edward the Seventh died, I took as the subject of one of my lectures "Edward the Seventh and his Policy." In this lecture I pointed out how close was France and his alliance with Russia were for the purpose of encircling Germany as a result of the coming friction between Germany and this country because of commercial rivalry. I then denominated Edward the Seventh as the Father of the Franco-Russian Alliance. It was this policy of the War-monger. The events which have ensued prove my contention right up to the hilt. I am only proceeding along the lines upon which I have proceeded from the beginning to the end of the century. I have classified the world into two camps created by the war, it was necessary to create a market outside this country, because of the inability of the workers to purchase the wealth they create. You must have seen that I have been pointing out all the facts that have led to our empire. I have also pointed out that the capitalist development of Germany since the Franco-Prussian War has forced upon that country the necessity for empire as well as this country, and in its search for markets and colonies it has been following the same path as we have been teaching that and what I have taught is coming precisely true.

I wish no harm to any human being, but I, as one man, am going to exercise my freedom of speech. No human being on the face of the earth, no government is going to take from me my right to speak, my right to protest against wrong, my right to do everything that is for the benefit of mankind. *I am not here, then, as the accused; I am here as the accuser of Capitalism dripping with blood from head to foot.*

In connection with the 'a' canny' question at Parkhead Forge, I wish to take up some of the particular points first of all before I deal with the question of the 'a' canny' itself. It was a question which I reported in the 'Forward' that reference was made to David Kirkwood. It was there reported that Kirkwood had made a record output. Now David Kirkwood, representing the Parkhead Forge workers, at the end of the year 1934, made a record output. I am not sure whether the statement for the benefit of Mr. Lloyd George and his colleagues, the first sentence of which, in big type, was—'What you wish is greater output.' He said that the Parkhead Forge workers were then prepared to work under the conditions of the 'a' canny' system, but that they would not come under the conditions under which the greater output would increase. That was his condition. Since he was got into position he has not have bothered to work under the conditions of the 'a' canny' system. It was put to him: 'What consistency with the position and with the attitude of the working class?' I said it was not consistent with the attitude and the position of the working class, that his business was to work under the conditions of the 'a' canny' system. It was far from the central point was concerned.

The country has been exploited by the Capitalists in every sphere, to get the toilers to work harder to bring victory. I said at the commencement of the war that the workers were being deceived and that they were being given that at the end of the war the people would get back to normal. I said that circumstances would make such a return impossible. I said that the workers were being deceived and that they were being given that at the end of the war the people would get back to normal. I said that circumstances would make such a return impossible. I said that the workers were being deceived and that they were being given that at the end of the war the people would get back to normal. I said that circumstances would make such a return impossible.

The employers are changing their opinions now as a result of experience, but in the past they considered it in their economic interest to pay as low a wage as possible. On the other hand the position of the workers is to give as little of their energy as they possibly can and to demand the highest wage possible. If it is right for the employer to get the maximum of energy and pay the minimum of wage, then it is equally right for the worker to give the minimum of his energy and demand the maximum of wage.

What is right for the one is equally right for the other, although the interests of the two classes are diametrically opposed. That is the position, and in view of the fact that many of the workers have overworked themselves and have had to lie off through overstrain, and that the employers have thrown the blame for the scrap-heaps kicked out like dogs when they are no longer useful—they are compelled to look after their own welfare. The worker has therefore in the past adopted the policy of 'ca' canny, and I have in the interests of the working class advocated the policy of 'ca' canny, not because I am against the war, but knowing that after the war the scrap-heaps will be kicked out like dogs upon him. I held still to the principle of 'ca' canny. I accede to that.

So far as Parkhill Forge is concerned I also pointed out that none of the great big guns had been made for some time prior to the great offensive. When the offensive came, Gough, the friend of Sir Edward Carson, the man who before the war was going to get into the Irishmen, and the man who was going to run the Government, was going to give over their Easter holiday in order to make those guns. We have, therefore, Beardmore and other responsible for shortage of certain material, and we know from further disclosures that millions of shells have been useless, and perhaps that has been due to the fact of over-speeding, so that even over-speeding may do nothing for the advancement of the war. Furthermore, if big reserves of material are going to be made, and if the Germans are to be made to get them, that is going to be to the advantage of the Germans, and not to the advantage of the British.

## THE CA' CANNY POLICY

selfes." As a matter of fact my statement was based on a resolution that had been passed by the A.S.E. in the Clyde area, the official Engineers' Committee. It met and it determined to down tools against the introduction of the Man Power Bill.

At the same time that was supplemented by a resolution at Geddes' meeting in the City Hall. There a resolution was put up by the workers and carried virtually unanimously, that if the Man Power Bill was put into operation, the Clyde district workers would "down tools." It was unnecessary for me, therefore, in light of these official and unofficial statements, to urge the "down tools" policy.

As a matter of fact, we were told that the Government had dismissed many munition girls just immediately prior to the great offensive, so that if the workers are guilty of stoppage of output of munitions, then the Government is likewise responsible in the dismissal of those thousands of girls.

Now then, food and tariffs were the getting of food. There had been a shortage; the Government had held up the supplies, for several reasons possibly — perhaps to get this rationing passed, in order to have a tight hold on food, and also lest the people get out of hand in reference to this Man Power Bill. I knew that there was plenty of food in stores in Glasgow, and that the farmers had food stored up in their farms. The farmers have used the war in order to make higher profits or prices, and then the Government stepped in and came in connection with the potato regulations ; and latterly, at the end of last year the Corn Production Act was passed, not in the interests of the farm labourers, but in the interests of the farmers.

in the demand for more food production was made, the farmers said they would do their best, and the Government refused to give the farm labourers a minimum wage of 25s. to 30s. a week, 25s. a day's time being equivalent to 10s. in normal times. The farmers were told to get on with it as a consequence of the Corn Production Act. I therefore stood out that if the workers went to the farmers and did not get the food stored up in the farms, they should burn the farms. We, the Socialists have no interest in destroying any property. We want property to be kept for the use of the people. It may be used for housing and other purposes, but it should not be used for the purpose of housing the farmers for the purpose of drawing attention to this particular point.

In the same way, when it came to a question of seizing the Press, I suggested that when the "Daily Record" was seized, the plant should be broken up. I did not say that in connection with the "Glasgow Herald." I said so in connection with the "Record," not that it is good thing to break up printing plant, but in order to draw attention to the Harnsworth family and to the Rothermeres and so on, and their vile press, which seems to be an index of the culture of Britain. I mention that particularly here, that I said the "Record" plant should be broken up, in order to emphasise the disgust of the organised workers with regard to that particular family or newspapers.

## THE WOMEN AND CHILDREN

So far as Ireland and America are concerned, that was mentioned particularly for the purpose of getting food from the St. Lawrence, food from the United States, and food from the Argentine. What was needed was food in order to hold our own, for, as the "Glasgow Herald" pointed out, when the Bolsheviks first came into power, Britain was withholding food from Russia, in the expectation that frost and famine would overthrow the Bolsheviks. That is to say, they were anxious to murder women and children inside Russia, as well as men. The suggestion I made was in order to draw the attention of the workers to the need of having plenty of food stuffs to keep them going.

So far as the Government's responsibility for the murder of women and children is concerned, the reason for my statement is perfectly obvious. They have been accusing the Germans of killing women and children in this country. Perfectly true. Of course bombs dropped in Germany have not killed women and children, marvellous to say! But that apart; we had the Government getting hold of the food supply immediately prior to, and immediately after the New Year, and creating a shortage. The Government was therefore responsible for the queue

Women were standing in queues in the cold, and women had died of what they had contracted during their standing in the queues. The women had died therefore in consequence of the action of the Government, and I threw the responsibility upon the Government—and I do so still.

We know that women and children—human material—have been used up inside the factories, and the housing of the working class in the country has been so bad, and is so bad to-day, that the women and children of the working class die in greater proportion than the women and children of the better-to-do classes. I have always pointed out that the death rate among the working classes has always exceeded that of the better-to-do districts.

I also pointed out that the British Government had sent Russian subjects back to Russia to fight, and had given their wives 12s. 6d. per week and 2s. 6d. for each child. Now, when I was functioning as Russian Consul, two deputations of Russian women came to me and they told me sorrowful tales of depression, disease and death in consequence of the fact that they had received 12s. 6d. per week and 2s. 6d. for each child. I wrote to the Secretary for Scotland in regard to this and I received no reply. The children ought not to suffer because their

fathers have been taken, but those children have suffered. There is not a Lithuanian family in the West of Scotland but has trouble to-day as a consequence of the starving of these people. These women and children supplies given to them by the British Government, and I seize this opportunity for the purpose of making my statement public, in connection with these women, in the hope that the public in general will press the Government to see that these women and children are attended to at least on the same scale as the wives and dependents of British soldiers.

## AMERICAN "INDEPENDENCE"

With regard to the Yankees, I said, and I say to-day, that the Yankees are out for themselves. The Press—the British Press—the British Press—neered and jeered at the Yankees before the Civil War, and pointed out how the Americans were the slaves of the South. At the time of the war, but they were not participating in this fight for so-called freedom. The Yankees were offered to America, and when they refused, they were offered to Europe. I am proud to fight, then, on the side of the capitalist class of this country. I am proud to stand on the same side as the authority, not of my own bat. My authority is Professor Roland G. F. Professor of History at Washington University. I think his statement, "The Americanism" is one of the finest things I have ever read. I think the world is leading up to this war, and Usher has his bias in favour of Britain.

What I wish to particularly refer to are his two books, "Pan Germanism" and "The Challenge of the Future." In "Pan-Germanism" he takes up the problems of the North and South American Atlantic first, and explains the consequences of the war between the Atlantic and Pacific. He then takes up the problems of the Atlantic, South and Central America whichever way you look at it, and takes the Pacific. He works it out from a material and economic point of view. His purpose being to get Central and South America to work with the United States. In "The Challenge of the Future" he says that to say, in "The Challenge of the Future" he modifies that position. He says that America is still to day economically dependent, that is to say, she has not got to pay interest to financiers in France, in Britain, and therefore she cannot carry out the bold schemes referred to in his book "Pan-Americanism."

I may now state that to-day the business men of this country know perfectly well that the Yankees are boasting of their independence. Therefore when you see references to American independence, this means that she no longer needs to pay interest to investors from outside and that her policy will be modified in consequence of that new phase of the American situation. This is the meaning of the American independence she must say which side she will take. This book was printed prior to America entering the war. Woodrow Wilson's policy works admirably with the suggestions in that book of Professor Usher, "The Challenge of the Future."

## ALLIES AND THE BOLSHEVIKS

We know quite well, too, that the United States of America prevented Japan in 1915 getting economic and political control over North China. Twenty-one articles were imposed on China after the Japs had released their grip of the Germans there. America, alive to her own interests, getting to know of these 21 points, forced Japan to withdraw. America was there working in her own interests.

Japan has been, I think, incited to land at Vladivostok in consequence of the Russian Revolution, and in order to reach the Bolsheviks and to have them agree to crush the Whites. The Americans did not take that chance. America really began to get alarmed when the Bolsheviks became America's afraid that, if Japan got half Siberia, Russia, that would give her a strategic control of Siberia, and it would mean a closed door to American contact across the Pacific with Russia proper. The Americans were not over-anxious to plunge right in for that reason I contend that the Yankees, who have been the big shippers of the mighty dollar, are looking after their own interests in the present war; and, as to the great host they have been making about America's going to take the side of the Whites, I think it is a mistake. America has not been over-anxious to plunge right into this war and made all the sacrifices she has said. I know, of course, that America has had her own troubles at home, racial troubles, and also troubles with the workers. Numerous strikes have been going on since the beginning of the war, and the strike in consequence of the war, but also in connection with the economic position.

### SOME BRITISH ATROCITIES

Now then, I come to the doctors. The doctors I referred to were prison doctors. When I was in Peterhead it was plain sailing until the middle of December, and then the trouble began. I was fevered and being able to combat that, I was then chilled down. Two men came in one at the time to the cell, one of them was a doctor, Dr. [redacted] and Mr. [redacted] [redacted] M.P., and to them I protested that my food was being drugged. I said that there was alcohol in the food lowering the temperature. I know that potassium bromide is given to people in order to lower their temperature. It may have been potassium bromide that was used and I was told that the doctor was a sure sign of what was taking place in Peterhead from hints and statements by the prisoners there; that from January to March, the so-called w



breaking up their organs and their systems. During this period, because the treatment then given puts the eyes out of view. Through numerous experiments I was able to hold my own. I saw these men rot about me in a horrible plight. I have stated in public since that I was given a life sentence in Peterhead. Attacks were made upon the organs of these men and also upon their nervous systems, and we know from the conscientious objections that the Government have taken their percentage of deaths. I have died, some have died, and some have been killed. There have been knocked off their feet, and in this way got into asylums. The very same process has gone on there. Mr. Hobbhouse has done a good service to mankind in registering the facts, but, unfortunately for the men, I am not a part of it. I wish to emphasise the fact that this callous and cold system of destroying people is going on inside prisons now.

[illegible]

So far as Russian freedom and British slavery are concerned, I wish to draw attention to the fact that an article appeared in the "Socialist" on the other day about Bolshevism, and I have a feeling that that article was written especially for this trial to create a feeling against Bolshevism. The statements in that article are a travesty. Instead of Russia, since Lenin and Trotsky and the Bolsheviks came into power, there have been fewer deaths than at any same period under any Czar for 300 years. There have been fewer killed perhaps, officers have been killed, but not because they have not submitted to those who have come to the top—the majority of the people—in the name of Bolshevism. Some may have been put to death.

When there was a shortage and disorganization of the food supplies before the Bolsheviks came into power, there may have been individuals who, in their scramble for food for themselves, have gone to excesses, but the crimes of individuals cannot be charged to Governments. No person would hold the Government responsible for the actions of individuals. The Bolshevik Government has not given orders to kill individuals. The Bolshevik Government has only ordered reconstruction of society. They have to improve the conditions of the people. It is not the Government's fault that there may be news to some of you that the society is in a state of anarchy. It may be news to some of you that the Czarist movement in Russia has grown more rapidly than in any other part of the world, and since the Bolsheviks have come into power, co-operation has been growing more and more rapidly. The universal

the production of 1980 and the 1980s. The German comrades in Russia signing their treaty with the Whites, when the Germans made their advance into Ethioha, Lithuania, and so on – the border countries between Germany and Russia – that is, the capitalist class in the respective towns and regions. The Whites were taken prisoner, and put against a wall, and shot at the instigation of the proprietors of the respective class of Russia. They have been responsible for more deaths than the Whites of the Soviets. Our Finnish comrades, the Red Guards, have pointed out to them, that as soon as the White Guards, the capitalist class, take any one of their comrades prisoner, they immediately put them to death. It has been said that even our comrades over there in Russia were willing to die for the Whites. It has been said that the German allowed Lenin to pass through Austrian territory. Our comrades have stood up against the Whites in Germany as best they could, and the capitalists – the so-called patriots of Russia – have been working hand in hand with Germany in so doing. It has been done in the various States stolen by Germany from Russia.

The Lord Advocate pointed out here that I probably was a more dangerous enemy that you had got to face than in the Germans. *The working class, when they rise for their own, are more dangerous to capitalists than even the German enemies at your gates.* That has been repeatedly

indicated in the Press, and I have stated it as well. I am glad that you have made this statement at this time, the most historic trial that has ever been held in the world, when the working class and the capitalist class meet face to face. The Bolsheviks got into power in October, and the people wished peace, and they were doing their best to get peace. The Bolsheviks wished peace throughout the world. They wished the world to cease in order that they might settle down to the real business of life, the economic reorganisations of the whole of Russia. They therefore got into negotiation with the Germans, and they and the Germans met at Brest Litovsk.

[illegible][illegible]

overthrow the autocratic class that has been governing Germany. From a British point of view, the revolution in Germany is good even if it is not a proletarian revolution. So, I would like to see the British Government overthrow the autocratic class that has been governing Germany. I cannot square it if he can. I cannot square it. The conditions of Germany economically are the conditions of Britain, and there is only a very slight difference between the political structure of Germany and the political structure of Britain. If we are concerned with the workers we are not concerned with the political super-structure; we are concerned with the economic foundation of society, and that determines our point of view in politics and industrial action. The British Government did the very same as the British have been doing when they appealed to the German soldiers and workers to overthrow the Government.

Strikes broke forth in Italy. The strikes in January paused in Germany, more menacing strikes than have taken place inside the British Isles. An appeal was made from comrades to comrades. Marine soldiers in Germany mutinied; many sailors of Germany mutinied, and these men are being shot down by their Government. All hail to the working men of Germany who refused at the bidding of the capitalists to go on with this war. Their names will go down bright and shining where those of the capitalist of to-day and of the past will have been forgotten.

There would be a very bad thing for the workers of the world if revolution were developed and carried through to success in Germany and no similar effort were made in this country. The German worker enemy is the same as our enemy in this country—the landlords and the capitalists are our mutual enemy—and if it was their business and the right and their duty to overthrow their autocratic government, then we will be a duty on us to allow them to overthrow their Government. We will allow France, Britain and Italy to march over the land and make these German workers slaves at the dictates of the capitalists of the other parts of the world. There was the situation from the point of view and from our point of view too.

It has been pointed out that if we developed a revolution the Germans would come over and, instead of having liberty, we would be under the iron heel of the Kaiser. If I grant that that is true, it is equally true in the other case that the Allies would do in Germany what the German Kaiser with the capitalist class of Germany would do in the

country. There can only be a revolution when the workers of all the countries stand united and capitalism is crushed, and until then the war must go on incessantly and incessantly. It is not because I am against my own people. My own people are the workers here, and the workers in Germany and elsewhere. The workers have instigated the war. The workers have

[illegible]

The world. We are out of life and all that life can give us. I therefore took what action I did in the light of what was transpiring inside Russia and what action I did inside Germany. You have got to bear that in mind when you wish to understand my remarks. I therefore urged the workers in this country that if they were going to strike, mere striking was useless, because they would be starved back into work again, and that if they were going to be against the Man Power Bill, it meant that they were out for peace. And as there were no wars on either side, I came to an amicable constitutional conclusion, that it was the business of the workers to take the whole matter in hand themselves.

War was declared I no matter the motive, no matter the cause, the constitution and order was thrown aside, and in the prosecution of the war the British Government found it necessary to throw aside even law in the British country and to bring in the Defence of the Realm Act, which gave the Government the power to get a grip on the situation and to bring the negotiation of all law in the country. I have repeatedly pointed out that if the Government wish to get a grip on the situation, they must bring in the Defence of the Realm Act. The Government have done so under the Defence of the Realm Act. That may be right, or it may be wrong, but the position is this, that the bringing in of the Defence of the Realm Act has thrown aside all law and order as we know it during the war periods.

In the plunge into the war we have the abolition of constitutional methods, and therefore I contended, and I contend to-day, that if it is right and proper on the part of the Government to throw aside law and order—constitutional methods—and to adopt methods that mankind has never seen before, then it is equally right that the members of the working class, if the war is not going to cease in a reasonable time, should bring about a reasonable settlement, and a reasonable settlement of the workers in no victory to either side.

If one side or the other wins, then the revenge will come, as France today is seeking revenge after the crushing she got in 1871. Really, the representatives of the workers of the world, do not wish to fight, or the other to be the victors. We wish the *status quo* prior to the war to be re-established. If the workers are going to do so, they must mean that they have to adopt measures that will not be different from the measures that were adopted, or could be adopted under normal circumstances. Abnormal lines of action must be taken, if we urge abnormal lines of action to be taken, such as our comrades in Russia took. The very circumstances of the war forced us upon Russian workers' committees, and the Soviet Government, to take abnormal lines of action. If we urge the only way we could do it would

The suggestions I made were intended only to develop revolutionary thought inside the minds of the workers. I pointed out at the meeting on the 20th that representatives of the police were present, and therefore if the workers were going to take any action, they would have to be given them. I only gave out these suggestions so that they might work out plans of their own if they thought fit to take action to bring about peace. I was convinced, and I am still convinced, that the workers are going to take action to bring about peace. I am not a pacifist for revolution, but I am for the workers that, in order to stop

I pointed out to them that in order to solve the problems of capitalism, they would have to get the land and means of production out of the hands of the capitalists.

I pointed out to them that if capitalism lasted after the war, the growing size of the trusts, with the great aggregations that were taking place, with the improved machinery inside the works, with the improved methods of speeding up the workers, with the new and improved methods of expanding the markets, would have the workers turning out three, four and five times as much wealth as they had in pre-war times, and a great problem would arise—a greater problem than ever before—before this country of disposing of its surplus goods on the markets of the world, not only of Great Britain, but for the whole world.

for these surplus goods, but of getting the raw materials. We see that in the committees appointed by the Government that they are anxious to get control of the markets of the world in order to exclude the Germans.

Our Government has already appointed a Local Organisation of the Board of Trade and of the Foreign Office, which is going to plant agents here and there throughout the world, so that the secretaries of the British products may be thrown on to the markets of the world.

The scientific methods applied to commerce internationally as well as national, and the preparations are being made, it is being said, for the purpose of carrying out a New Economic Order. There is going to be a war after the war, an economic war between the Germans and her friends, and the British and the Americans and their friends. There is going to be a war between the nations, and the respective Governments are going to be able to do it. The capital will be planted in areas over which they have control.

You have, then, the rush for empire. We see that the Americans already have got one or two of the islands in the West Indies, and we understand that America has also got hold of Dutch Guiana. It has also been suggested that Mexico be brought into the American States. Britain herself is looking after her own interests. She has taken the German Colonies, she is also in Mesopotamia and in Palestine, got there for strategic reasons, but when Britain gets hold of Mesopotamia, Palestine, and Arabia, she will use them for her own ends, and I do not blame Britain for that. Britain has got many troubles.

We see Japan also on the outlook. Japan has been trying repeatedly to get control of Northern China. She would also like to get a great chunk of Siberia. Even to-day we see the tentacles being sent out, anxious to grab more and more power. We know the secret treaties and disclosures made by our Bolshevik comrades. We know that the nations have been building up their plans so that when the Germans have been crushed they will get this territory, or that territory. *They are all out for Empire.* That was absolutely necessary for the commercial prosperity of the nations.

All the property destroyed during the war will be replaced. In next five years there is going to be a great world trade depression; the respective Governments, to stave off trouble, must turn more and more into the markets of the world to get rid of their produce, and fifteen years' time from the close of this war—I have pointed this at all my meetings—we are into the next war if Capitalism lasts; cannot escape it.

Britain had the wealth. Britain did everything she could to back the war. That necessarily had to be the attitude of Great Britain but in spite of all Great Britain's skill or cunning, there has been no doubt in my mind that the Western civilisations are destroying themselves. I have heard it said that the Eastern civilisations destroyed themselves. In five years' time we may have the first great war bursting out in the Pacific—America vs. Japan, or even Japan and China vs. America. We then the possibilities of another war, far greater and far more serious in its consequences than the present war. I have pointed that out to my audiences.

In view of the fact that the great Powers are not prepared to  
the war until the one side or the other is broken down, it is our  
as members of the working class to see that this war ceases to be  
only to save the lives of the young men of the present, but also to  
off the next great war. That has been my attitude and justifi-  
conduct in recent times. I am out for an absolute reconstruc-  
tion Society, on a Co-operative basis, throughout all the world; with  
America, hand for arm and navies, we stop the need for war.

[illegible]

For Britain. A victory for Germany is a defeat for Germany, and for Britain a defeat for Germany. And it is equally true that the same class as we are concerned, as our classes are concerned. What is moral is to matter what you are immoral for the other, and what is matter what reservations you have against the other, and what is matter what reservations you have against the other, my appeal is to the working class, exclusively to them because they and they only can bring about a time when the whole world will be in one brotherhood, on the economic foundation. That, and that alone, can be the basis of a new bringing about a reorganisation of Society, and that can only be done when the people of the world get the world, and retain the world.

The Judge pronounced sentence of five years penal on Maclean then turned to his comrades in the court "Keep boys; keep it going!"



Published By: The John McLean Society, Nan McLean Milton, Atholl Cottage, Westfield, Nr. Bathgate, W. Lothian.  
Photo-lithoed from "JOHN MACLEAN" by TOM BELL, with kind permission of the Communist Party,  
Scottish Committee.